

RANGELAND CONDITION ASSESSMENT

A MULTI-CRITERIA METHOD

for African grassland and savanna

Property name:	
Site no:	Assessors:
Date:	Time: Long-term average rainfall (mm/year):
Waynoint no:	or Site coordinates: S:



1. SITE DESCRIPTION

Footslope

Valley bottom

Midslope

step	1
Step	

Terrain unit:

Complete the site description information below;

Crest

Slope:		Steep	Medium	Gentle	Flat or even	
Soil text	ture:	Sandy	Sandy loam	Loam	Clay loam	Clay
Soil dep	oth:	Deep	Medium	Shallow	Gravelly / r	ocky
			ne the common most to least co	•		
			2	2		
			4	l		
			6	5		
			8	3		
		e commo	10 on trees and verom most to leas	woody shru		
Na	me the	e commo	on trees and v	woody shru t common) 4	bs (optional):
Na	me the	e commo	on trees and version most to leas	woody shruit common) 4 5 6 s/herbs (op	bs (optional):
Na	me the	e commo	on trees and verom most to leas	woody shruit common) 4 5 6 s/herbs (opit common)	bs (optional):
Na	me the	me the c	on trees and verom most to lease services and verom most to lease	woody shru t common) 4 5 6 s/herbs (op t common) 4	bs (optional):
Na	me the	me the c	on trees and verom most to leas	woody shruit common) 4 5 6 s/herbs (opticommon) 4	bs (optional):

step 2

2. EVALUATION

Apply scores to criteria A − D:

A.	How many good (decreaser) grazing grasses are present? (quality grazing)								
1	Mainly poor grazing grasses present	1 – 2	Score A:						
2	Moderate and poor grazing grasses mixed	3 – 4	\						
3	Mainly moderate grazing grasses present	5 – 6							
4	Good and moderate grazing grasses mixed	7 – 8							
5	Mainly good grazing grasses present	9 – 10							
В.	What is the condition of palatable (decreaser) grazing grass	ses? (vigour)							
1	Tufts are very small and very weak	1 – 2	Score B:						
2	Tufts are small and weak	3 – 4	\						
3	Tufts are moderate in size	5 – 6							
4	Tufts are large and healthy	7 – 8							
5	Tufts are very large and very healthy	9 – 10							
C.	How much grass biomass is present? (quantity grazing)								
1	Very low levels of grass biomass	1 – 2	Score C:						
2	Low levels of grass biomass	3 – 4	\						
3	Moderate levels of grass biomass	5 – 6							
4	High levels of grass biomass	7 – 8							
5	Very high levels of grass biomass	9 – 10							
D.	How good is the ground cover?								
1	Very poor ground cover	1 – 2	Score D:						
2	Poor ground cover	3 – 4	\						
3	Moderate levels of ground cover	5 – 6							
4	High levels ground cover	7 – 8							
5	Very high levels of ground cover	9 – 10							
	TOTAL (A + B + C + D)								

2. EVALUATION



Apply scores to the following criteria (E - H):

E.	How much encroachment by unwanted plants is present?								
1	Heavy encroachm	1	Score E:						
2	Heavy to medium	2 – 3	→ ↓						
3	Medium encroach	ment is present			4 – 5				
4	Medium to light e	ncroachment is pres	ent		6 – 7				
5	Only light encroad	hment is present			8 – 9				
6	No encroachment	present			10				
F.	How is the soil su	rface condition? (er	osion)						
1	Severe levels of to	psoil loss			1-2	Score F:			
2	High levels of tops	oil loss			3 – 4	J UIE F. ↓			
3	Moderate levels o	f topsoil loss			5 – 6				
4	Slight levels of top	soil loss			7 – 8				
5	No topsoil loss				9 – 10				
G.	How high is the b	otanical diversity? (i	indigenous	grasses, fo	rbs & trees)				
1	Very low (less tha	n 9 species visible)			1-2	Score G:			
2	Low (less than 10		3 – 4	\					
3	Moderate (20 – 29	species visible)			5 – 6				
4	High (30 – 39 spec	ies visible)			7 – 8				
5	Very high (> 40 sp	ecies visible)			9 – 10				
н.	What is the soil type? (agricultural potential)								
	Texture ↓	Soil depth →	Deep	Shallow	Gravelly	Score H:			
1	Sandy soil (< 10%	clay)	2 – 4	-3	-5	\			
2	Sandy loam soil (10 – 15% clay)		5 – 6	-3	-5	Minimum score of 0			
3	Loam soil (15 – 25	% clay)	7 – 8	-3	-5				
4	Clay loam soil (25	– 40% clay)	9 – 10	-3	-5				
5	Clay soil (40 – 50%	clay)	7 – 8	-3	-5				
6	Heavy clay soil (>	-5							
	TOTAL (E + F + G + H)								
	GRAND TOTAL (Rangeland condition score)(A – F + E – H)								



Use now the Rangeland Condition Score (RCS), and long-term average (or previous season's rainfall) for the area to get the estimated grazing capacity in ha/LAU (Large Animal Unit = 450 kg grazer) from the table below:

RAIN	FALL →	300	350	400	450	500	550	600	650	700	750	800	850	900
Score ↓	Condition ↓		↓ HA	A/LAU ↓				↓ HA/LAU	→			↓ H	IA/LAU ↓	
20 - 21		39,8	34,1	29,9	26,5	23,9	21,7	19,9	18,4	17,1	15,9	14,9	14,1	13,3
22 - 23		33,4	28,6	25,1	22,3	20,1	18,2	16,7	15,4	14,3	13,4	12,5	11,8	11,1
24 - 25	Very poor	28,8	24,7	21,6	19,2	17,3	15,7	14,4	13,3	12,3	11,5	10,8	10,2	9,6
26 - 27		25,3	21,7	19,0	16,9	15,2	13,8	12,7	11,7	10,8	10,1	9,5	8,9	8,4
28 - 29		22,6	19,3	16,9	15,0	13,5	12,3	11,3	10,4	9,7	9,0	8,5	8,0	7,5
30 - 31		20,4	17,5	15,3	13,6	12,2	11,1	10,2	9,4	8,7	8,1	7,6	7,2	6,8
32 - 33		18,5	15,9	13,9	12,4	11,1	10,1	9,3	8,6	7,9	7,4	7,0	6,5	6,2
34 - 35		17,0	14,6	12,8	11,4	10,2	9,3	8,5	7,9	7,3	6,8	6,4	6,0	5,7
36 - 37	Poor	15,7	13,5	11,8	10,5	9,4	8,6	7,9	7,3	6,7	6,3	5,9	5,6	5,2
38 - 39		14,6	12,5	11,0	9,8	8,8	8,0	7,3	6,8	6,3	5,9	5,5	5,2	4,9
40 - 41		13,7	11,7	10,3	9,1	8,2	7,5	6,8	6,3	5,9	5,5	5,1	4,8	4,6
42 - 43		12,8	11,0	9,6	8,6	7,7	7,0	6,4	5,9	5,5	5,1	4,8	4,5	4,3
44 - 45		12,1	10,4	9,1	8,1	7,3	6,6	6,0	5,6	5,2	4,8	4,5	4,3	4,0
46 - 47		11,4	9,8	8,6	7,6	6,9	6,2	5,7	5,3	4,9	4,6	4,3	4,0	3,8
48 - 49		10,8	9,3	8,1	7,2	6,5	5,9	5,4	5,0	4,6	4,3	4,1	3,8	3,6
50 - 51	Moderate	10,3	8,8	7,7	6,9	6,2	5,6	5,2	4,8	4,4	4,1	3,9	3,6	3,4
52 - 53		9,8	8,4	7,4	6,5	5,9	5,4	4,9	4,5	4,2	3,9	3,7	3,5	3,3
54 - 55		9,4	8,0	7,0	6,3	5,6	5,1	4,7	4,3	4,0	3,8	3,5	3,3	3,1
56 - 57		9,0	7,7	6,7	6,0	5,4	4,9	4,5	4,1	3,8	3,6	3,4	3,2	3,0
58 - 59		8,6	7,4	6,5	5,7	5,2	4,7	4,3	4,0	3,7	3,4	3,2	3,0	2,9
60 - 61		8,3	7,1	6,2	5,5	5,0	4,5	4,1	3,8	3,5	3,3	3,1	2,9	2,8
62 - 63		7,9	6,8	6,0	5,3	4,8	4,3	4,0	3,7	3,4	3,2	3,0	2,8	2,6
64 - 65	Good	7,7	6,6	5,7	5,1	4,6	4,2	3,8	3,5	3,3	3,1	2,9	2,7	2,6
66 - 67		7,4	6,3	5,5	4,9	4,4	4,0	3,7	3,4	3,2	3,0	2,8	2,6	2,5
68 - 69		7,1	6,1	5,3	4,8	4,3	3,9	3,6	3,3	3,1	2,9	2,7	2,5	2,4
70 - 71		6,9	5,9	5,2	4,6	4,1	3,8	3,4	3,2	3,0	2,8	2,6	2,4	2,3
72 - 73		6,7	5,7	5,0	4,4	4,0	3,6	3,3	3,1	2,9	2,7	2,5	2,4	2,2
74 - 75		6,5	5,5	4,9	4,3	3,9	3,5	3,2	3,0	2,8	2,6	2,4	2,3	2,2
76 - 77	Very good	6,3	5,4	4,7	4,2	3,8	3,4	3,1	2,9	2,7	2,5	2,4	2,2	2,1
78 - 79		6,1	5,2	4,6	4,1	3,7	3,3	3,0	2,8	2,6	2,4	2,3	2,1	2,0
80		6,0	5,1	4,5	4,0	3,6	3,3	3,0	2,8	2,6	2,4	2,2	2,1	2,0

NB: The result of this assessment is suitable for rotational grazing. For continuous grazing multiply the result by two.

Rainfall table based on Van Zyl 1989 and Fourie & Roberts, 1980. Compiled by Frits van Oudtshoorn (frits@alut.co.za) version 16.1 (2022).